

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,441	03/24/2004	Kenji Mori	119058	4270
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 09/18/2008 RIDGE, PLC	8	EXAM	UNER
P.O. BOX 320850			TYLER, NATHAN K	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/807,441
 MORI, KENJI

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 NATHAN K, TYLER
 2625

•	Examiner	Art Unit					
	NATHAN K. TYLER	2625					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):						
(1) <u>NATHAN K. TYLER</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>Dan Tanner (Reg. No. 54,734)</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: 29 August 2008.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	t) applicant's representative	1]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.						
Claim(s) discussed: 1.9 and 14-17.							
Identification of prior art discussed: Kuwata, Fukazawa.							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant gave arguments as to why the combination of Kuwata and Fukazawa is improper, and therefore fails to read on the claims. The Examiner clarified his reasoning for the combination of the image references, and why this combination meets the limitations of the independent claims. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE MAILING DATE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/Nathan K Tyler/							
Examiner, Art Unit 2625							